## 1 STATE OF OKLAHOMA 2 2nd Session of the 56th Legislature (2018) 3 SENATE BILL 1275 By: Daniels 4 5 6 AS INTRODUCED 7 An Act relating to post-conviction relief; defining terms; authorizing motion for forensic analysis under certain circumstances; construing provision; 8 establishing requirements and procedures for filing 9 of motion for forensic analysis; establishing jurisdiction; requiring certain service; authorizing appointment of counsel under certain circumstances; 10 requiring hearing; requiring court to submit written findings; requiring court to allow forensic analysis 11 upon certain showing; establishing burden of proof; 12 authorizing certain discovery; authorizing court to specify conditions of certain analysis; requiring agreement on certain provider; directing payment for 13 cost of analysis; stating effect of certain proceedings; requiring certain disclosure; 14 authorizing order for additional analysis; requiring certain victim notification; prohibiting waiver of 15 certain right; requiring retention and preservation of certain evidence; limiting liability for certain 16 act; establishing time limit for certain appeal; providing for codification; and providing an 17 effective date. 18 19 BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA: 20 SECTION 1. A new section of law to be codified 21 NEW LAW in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1081a of Title 22, unless there 22 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows: 23 24 As used in this act:

1. "Analysis" means the process by which a forensic or scientific technique is applied to evidence or biological material to identify the perpetrator of a crime;

- 2. "Biological material" means a sexual assault forensic examination kit, semen, blood, saliva, hair, skin tissue or other identified biological substance;
- 3. "Conviction" means a verdict or finding of guilty, a plea of guilty, a plea of nolo contendere or an adjudication of delinquency as a juvenile entered by the trial court;
- 4. "Exhaustive testing" means analysis of a particular item of evidence or biological material that precludes replicate analysis of the evidence or biological material;
- 5. "Factually innocent" means a person convicted of a criminal offense who did not commit that offense;
- 6. "Governmental entity" means an official body of the state, or of a county, city or town within the state;
  - 7. "Identity" means the moving party's identity as the perpetrator of the offense for which the moving party was convicted in the underlying case;
- 20 8. "Moving party" means a person who files a motion under this 21 act;
- 9. "Post-conviction" means any time after which a conviction has been entered;

10. "Prosecuting attorney" means the district attorney for the district in which the moving party was convicted or the attorney general of the state;

- 11. "Replicate analysis" means the duplication of an analysis performed on a particular item of evidence or biological material;
- 12. "Underlying case" means the trial court proceedings that resulted in the conviction of the moving party; and
- 13. "Victim" means any natural person who suffered direct or threatened physical, emotional or financial harm as the result of the commission or attempted commission of the crime or delinquency case that is the subject of the underlying case. "Victim" shall also include the parent, guardian, legal representative or administrator or executor of the estate of such person if that person is a minor, incompetent or deceased.
- SECTION 2. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1082a of Title 22, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- A. A person may file a motion for forensic or scientific analysis under this act if that person:
- 1. Has been convicted of a criminal offense in a court of this state;
- 2. Is incarcerated in a state prison, house of correction, is on parole or probation or whose liberty has been otherwise restrained as the result of a conviction; and

3. Asserts factual innocence of the crime for which the person has been convicted.

- B. This act shall not be construed to prohibit the performance of forensic or scientific analysis under any other circumstances, including by agreement between the person convicted of a criminal offense and the prosecuting attorney.
- SECTION 3. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1083a of Title 22, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- A. A person seeking relief under this act shall file a motion in the court in which the conviction was entered, using the same caption and docket number as identified the underlying case.
- B. The motion shall include the following information, and when relevant, shall include specific references to the record in the underlying case or to affidavits that are filed in support of the motion that are signed by a person with personal knowledge of the factual basis of the motion:
- The name and a description of the requested forensic or scientific analysis;
- 2. Information demonstrating that the requested analysis is admissible as evidence in courts of this state;
- 3. A description of the evidence or biological material that the moving party seeks to have analyzed or tested, including its location and chain of custody if known;

4. Information demonstrating that the analysis has the potential to result in evidence that is material to the moving party's identification as the perpetrator of the crime in the underlying case; and

- 5. Information demonstrating that the evidence or biological material has not been subjected to the requested analysis because:
  - a. the requested analysis had not yet been developed at the time of the conviction,
  - b. the results of the requested analysis were not admissible in the courts of this state at the time of the conviction,
  - c. the moving party and the moving party's attorney were not aware of and did not have reason to be aware of the existence of the evidence or biological material at the time of the underlying case and conviction,
  - d. the moving party's attorney in the underlying case was aware at the time of the conviction of the existence of the evidence or biological material, the results of the requested analysis were admissible as evidence in courts of this state, a reasonably effective attorney would have sought the analysis and either the moving party's attorney failed to seek the analysis or the judge denied the request, or

e. the evidence or biological material was otherwise unavailable at the time of the conviction.

- C. If the moving party is unable to include for filing with the motion any of the items or information described in subsection B of this section, or if the moving party lacks items or information necessary to establish any of the factors listed in subsection B of Section 7 of this act, the moving party shall include a description of efforts made to obtain such items and information and may move for discovery of such items or information from the prosecuting attorney or any third party.
- D. The moving party shall file with the motion an affidavit stating that the moving party is factually innocent of the offense of conviction and that the requested forensic or scientific analysis will support the claim of innocence. A person who pleaded guilty or nolo contendere in the underlying case may file a motion. The court shall not find that identity was not or could not have been a material issue in the underlying case because of the plea. A person who is alleged to have, or admits to having, made a statement that is or could be incriminating may file a motion under this act. The court shall not find that identity was not or should not have been a material issue in the underlying case because the moving party made, or is alleged to have made, an incriminating statement. If the moving party entered a plea of guilty or nolo contendere to the offense of conviction or made an incriminating statement, the moving

party shall state in the affidavit that the claim of factual innocence is made notwithstanding the plea or incriminating statement.

- E. The court shall expeditiously review all motions filed and shall dismiss, without prejudice, any such motion without a hearing if the court determines, based on the information contained in the motion, that the motion does not meet the requirements set forth in this section. The prosecuting attorney may provide a response to the motion, to assist the court in considering whether the motion meets the requirements under this section. The court shall notify the moving party and the prosecuting attorney as to whether the motion is sufficient to proceed under this act or is dismissed.
- SECTION 4. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1084a of Title 22, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- A. The moving party shall file a motion under Section 3 of this act with the court that adjudicated the underlying case and shall serve a copy of the motion on the prosecuting attorney.
- B. If the motion is not dismissed by the court under subsection E of Section 3 of this act, the prosecuting attorney shall file a response with the court within sixty (60) days after the date upon which the court issues notice under subsection E of Section 3 of this act, and shall simultaneously serve the response on the moving party. The prosecuting attorney may request additional time in

- which to file the response, which the court may grant for good cause shown.
  - C. The prosecuting attorney's response shall include any specific legal or factual objections that the prosecuting attorney has to the requested analysis.

3

4

5

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- SECTION 5. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
  in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1085a of Title 22, unless there
  is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
  - The court may assign or appoint counsel to represent a moving party who meets the definition of indigency in the preparation and presentation of motions filed under this act.
- SECTION 6. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1086a of Title 22, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
  - A. The court shall order a hearing on the motion if the motion meets the requirements of Section 3 of this act. The moving party shall be present for the hearing unless the moving party waives the party's presence at the hearing.
  - B. The judge who conducted the trial or accepted the moving party's plea of guilty or nolo contendere in the underlying case shall conduct the hearing, if possible.
- SECTION 7. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1087a of Title 22, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

A. After reviewing the motion, the prosecuting attorney's response, and after holding a hearing, the court shall state findings of fact and conclusions of law on the record, or shall make written findings of fact and conclusions of law that support the decision to allow or deny a motion brought under Section 3 of this act.

- B. The court shall allow the requested forensic or scientific analysis if each of the following has been demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence:
  - 1. That the evidence or biological material exists;
- 2. That the evidence or biological material has been subject to a chain of custody that is sufficient to establish that it has not deteriorated, been substituted, tampered with, replaced, handled or altered such that the results of the requested analysis would lack any probative value;
- 3. That the evidence or biological material has not been subjected to the requested analysis for any of the reasons in subparagraphs a through e of paragraph 5 of subsection B of Section 3 of this act:
- 4. That the requested analysis has the potential to result in evidence that is material to the moving party's identification as the perpetrator of the crime in the underlying case;
- 5. That the purpose of the motion is not the obstruction of justice or delay; and

6. That the results of the particular type of analysis being requested have been found to be admissible in courts of the commonwealth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The court on motion of any party, after notice to the opposing party and any third party from whom discovery is sought, and an opportunity to be heard, may authorize such discovery as provided for under the Oklahoma Discovery Code, from either party or any third party as is deemed appropriate, subject to appropriate protective orders or an order to the party seeking discovery to produce reciprocal discovery. Such discovery may include items and biological materials from third parties, provided the party seeking discovery demonstrates that analysis of these items or biological material will, by a preponderance of the evidence, provide evidence material to the identification of a perpetrator of the crime. in response to a motion made under subsection C of Section 3 of this act, the court finds good cause for the moving party's inability to obtain items or information required under subsection B of Section 3 and subsection B of this section, the court may order discovery to assist the moving party in identifying the location and condition of evidence or biological material that was obtained in relation to the underlying case, regardless of whether it was introduced at trial or would be admissible. The court, when considering such discovery requests, shall not require the establishment of a prima facie case for relief.

SECTION 8. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1088a of Title 22, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

- A. In allowing a motion under Section 3 of this act, the court shall specify conditions on the analysis, including, but not limited to, the transportation, handling and return of evidence or biological materials, to protect the integrity of the evidence or biological material and the analysis.
- B. The prosecuting attorney and the moving party shall agree on a forensic services provider accredited by an accreditation body that is a signatory to the International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Agreement and offers forensic laboratory accreditation services to conduct the analysis, which, except in the case of exhaustive testing, may include the forensic and technology center of the state police crime laboratory.
- C. If the prosecuting attorney and the moving party are unable to agree on a forensic services provider, the prosecuting attorney and the moving party shall submit to the court a list of not more than three (3) forensic services providers who are accredited by an accreditation body that is a signatory to the International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Agreement and offers forensic laboratory accreditation services and have the capability to perform the requested analysis. The court shall select a forensic services provider from either list. For purposes

of this section, "laboratory" shall refer to the forensic services provider selected under this subsection or subsection B of this section.

- D. The laboratory shall give equal access to its personnel, opinions, conclusions, reports and other documentation to the prosecuting attorney and the moving party.
- E. The laboratory shall retain and maintain the integrity of a sufficient portion of the evidence or biological material for replicate analysis. If, after initial examination of the evidence or biological material, but before the actual analysis, the laboratory determines that there is insufficient material for replicate analysis, it shall simultaneously notify in writing the prosecuting attorney, the moving party and the court. Exhaustive testing shall not occur except by specific order of the court. In the event that exhaustive testing is so authorized, upon request of either party, the court shall make such orders to ensure that representatives of the moving party and the prosecuting attorney have the opportunity to observe the analysis, unless such observation is inconsistent with the practices or protocols of the laboratory conducting the analysis.
- F. The moving party shall cooperate with the laboratory. At the laboratory's or the prosecuting attorney's request and upon court order, the moving party shall provide biological samples to the laboratory or to law enforcement personnel. If the moving party

- 1 unreasonably fails to cooperate with such orders, the court may deny
- 2 | the motion with prejudice.
- 3 SECTION 9. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
- 4 | in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1089a of Title 22, unless there
- 5 | is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- 6 Upon allowance of a motion under Section 3 of this act, analysis
- 7 | shall take place as soon as practicable.
- 8 SECTION 10. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
- 9 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1090a of Title 22, unless there
- 10 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- 11 The costs of the analysis shall be paid:
- 12 1. By the moving party if the moving party does not meet the
- 13 definition of indigency and has sufficient means to make such
- 14 payment;

24

- 2. If the moving party meets the definition of indigency, as an
- 16 | extra fee or cost; or
- 3. If a person is indigent, but has the ability to pay a
- 18 reduced fee, by the moving party to the maximum feasible amount
- 19 possible given the financial resources of the moving party as the
- 20 | court deems equitable.
- 21 SECTION 11. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
- 22 | in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1091a of Title 22, unless there
- 23 | is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

Proceedings under this act shall not stay or otherwise interfere with a term of incarceration, parole, probation or other sentence imposed.

- SECTION 12. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1092a of Title 22, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- A. The results of the analysis shall be simultaneously disclosed to the moving party, the prosecuting attorney and the court.
- B. The court shall, at the request of a party or on its own initiative, order production of the underlying laboratory data, documents and notes.
- SECTION 13. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1093a of Title 22, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- If the analysis is inconclusive, the court may order any additional analysis requested if the court concludes that the requirements of subsection B of Section 7 of this act are met.
- SECTION 14. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1094a of Title 22, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- A. If a motion is filed under Section 3 of this act, the
  prosecuting attorney shall notify the victim of the crime in the
  underlying case.

B. The prosecuting attorney shall notify the victim if the court allows a motion for forensic or scientific analysis and, if the victim is notified of the allowance of the motion, shall promptly notify the victim of the result of the analysis.

SECTION 15. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1095a of Title 22, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

The right to file a motion under this act shall not be waived. This prohibition of any waiver includes, but is not limited to, any stated or unstated waiver that is or is alleged to be part of any agreement or understanding related to any plea of guilty or of nolo contendere or to any sentencing or appellate proceeding or to any correctional placement or conditions.

SECTION 16. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1096a of Title 22, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

A. Any governmental entity that is in possession of evidence or biological material that is collected for its potential evidentiary value during the investigation of a crime, the prosecution of which results in a conviction, shall retain such evidence or biological material for the period of time that a person remains in the custody of this state or under parole or probation supervision in connection with such crime, without regard to whether the evidence or biological material was introduced at trial. Each governmental

1 entity shall retain all such evidence or biological material in a 2 manner that is reasonably designed to preserve the evidence and 3 biological material and to prevent its destruction or deterioration. The evidence or biological material need not be preserved if it is 5 to be returned to a third party or if it is of such a size, bulk or physical character as to render retention impracticable.

6

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

biological material.

- The director of the Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation 7 В. shall promulgate regulations governing the retention and 8 9 preservation of evidence or biological material by any governmental 10 entity. The regulations shall include standards for maintaining the 11 integrity of the materials over time, the designation of officials 12 at each governmental entity with custodial responsibility and requirements for contemporaneously recorded documentation of 13 individuals having and obtaining custody of any evidence or 14
  - SECTION 17. A new section of law to be codified NEW LAW in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1097a of Title 22, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
  - Governmental officials and employees acting in good faith shall not be liable in a civil or criminal proceeding for any act under this act.
- If a governmental entity responsible for the preservation of 22 evidence or biological material engages in willful or wanton 23 misconduct or gross negligence, which results in the deterioration 24

```
1
    or destruction of evidence or biological material so that a
    laboratory is unable to perform adequate or proper analysis, such
 2
    entity shall be subject to proceedings for contempt.
 3
        C. Nothing in this act shall create any cause of action for
 4
 5
    damages against this state or any of its subdivisions or officers,
    employees, agents or subdivisions, except as provided in this
 6
    section.
 7
        SECTION 18.
                        NEW LAW
                                    A new section of law to be codified
 9
    in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1098a of Title 22, unless there
10
    is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
11
        An order allowing or denying a motion for forensic or scientific
    analysis filed under this act shall be a final and appealable order.
12
13
    If the moving party appeals an order denying a motion for forensic
    or scientific analysis, the moving party shall file a notice of
14
    appeal with the court within thirty (30) days after the entry of the
15
    judgment.
16
17
        SECTION 19. This act shall become effective November 1, 2018.
18
        56-2-2071
                       TEK
                                 1/18/2018 11:16:47 AM
19
20
21
22
23
```

Req. No. 2071 Page 17

24